## DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES 744 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814



May 9, 1980

ALL-COUNTY INFORMATION NOTICE I- 49-80

TO: ALL COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTORS

SUBJECT: NORTH COAST COALITION v. WOODS

REFERENCE:

The attached Preliminary Injunction was issued in the case of North Coast Coalition v. Woods, a statewide class action challenging the regulations relating to income to the AFDC FBU from an "unrelated adult male" (UAM). The Department believes that the challenged regulations (EAS Sections 44-113.5 and 44-133.7) are valid and has taken an appeal. Although the injunction was originally stayed, on May 5, 1980, the Court of Appeal ordered that the injunction be given effect during the remainder of the appeal process.

A careful reading of the Preliminary Injunction reveals that it is confusing and internally inconsistent. The Department is preparing an All County Letter (ACL) describing in detail the manner in which the Department believes UAM contributions must be treated in order to comply with the injunction.

As you may be aware, any ACL or regulation that involves increased costs must be submitted to the Department of Finance (DOF) for funding approval. We anticipate submitting the ACL to DOF next week with a request for an expedited review.

In the meantime, in order to reduce delay after DOF approval is received, it is suggested that you begin to flag or otherwise identify all AFDC cases where grants or eligibility may be affected by UAM income.

Please contact your AFDC Program Management Consultant at (916) 445-4458 if you have any questions.

Sincerely.

KYLE S. McKINSEY Deputy Director

Attachment

## Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

FILED

First Appellate District

MAY 5 1933

Division FOUR

Court of Appeal - Tablicop L CUNTOAD C. FAMILY, CA

North Coast Coalition, et al.,
Plaintiffs and Respondents,
vs.

No. 48930

Marion J. Woods, et al.,
Defendants and Appellants.

Mendocino
Superior Court No. 41801

BY THE COURT:

Pending determination of the appeal on file herein, the trial court is directed to give effect to the preliminary injunction. See section 923 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

Dated MAY 5. 1990

CALDECOTT, P.J.

P.I

1 RED''COD LEGAL ASSISTANCE MOORSED-RILES THOMAS B. MASON 2 MARY RAN VILLWOCK CHARLES MCKINLEY HUV 21 KTS 3 PETHT SHERMAN DAVID LOWE 4 P.C. Box 747 MOLA RICHARDSON Ukiah, California 95482 MENDOCINO COUNTY CLERK 5 Telephone: (707)462-1471 6 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF MENDOCINO 8 9 NORTH COAST COALITION, et al., Case No. 41801 10 Plaintiffs, PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION 11 v. 12 MARION J. WOODS, et al., 13 Defendants. 14 The above matter came on regularly for hearing on 15 October 5, 1979, pursuant to plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary 16 Injunction. THOMAS B. MASON and MARY ANN VILLWOCK of 17 REDWOOD LEGAL ASSISTANCE appeared for plaintiffs and JOHN J. 18 KLEE, JR., appeared as counsel for defendants. 19 On proof being made to the satisfaction of the Court, and 20 good cause appearing therefor: 21 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that during the pendency of this action, 22 or until the final determination thereof, or until the Court 23 shall otherwise order, the defendants, their successors in 24 office, agents, assigns, employees, and all persons acting 25 by, through or under or in concert with them, or under their 26 supervision, including the board of supervisors of each Califor-27

nia county and the director of each county welfare department or

28

- 1. Enforcing defendant's current regulations EAS 44-113.5 and 44-133.7 or any successor regulations which require county welfare and social services departments to consider as income to the AFDC Family Budget Unit an Unrelated Adult Male's (hereinafter "UAM") contribution for his own living expenses.
- 2. Considering as income to the AFDC Family Budget Unit the portion of the required UAM contribution made pursuant to Welfare and Institutions Code \$11,351.5 which is for the UAM's own living expenses.
- 3. Considering as income any contributions made to the AFDC Family Budget Unit, whether cash or in-kind, for a partial item of need specifically designated by the UAM to be for his own living expenses.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant WOODS, his successor in office, agents, assigns, and employees shall effectuate this Order as follows:

- 1. By issuing an All-County letter within ten days
  of service of this Order to all county welfare and social services departments in the state of California which contains
  a copy of this Preliminary Injunction and directs such departments
  to do all things necessary to comply with this Court's Order.
- 2. By rescinding or withholding, or causing to be rescinded or withheld, the mailing to AFDC recipients and applicants of a letter or notice of reduction, suspension, termination or denial of AFDC benefits which would issue under the

operation and application of defendant's current regulations EAS \$44-113.5 and 44-133.7, or any successor regulations which violate this Court's Order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant WOODS, his successor in office, agents, assigns, and employees be enjoined from failing and refusing to reinstate said recipients' AFDC benefits to the levels they would be but for the operation of regulations EAS \$44-113.5 and 44-133.7.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that bond be waived.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendants shall comply fully with this Order within a reasonable time except as otherwise provided for herein.

Dated: November 21, 1979.

## ARTHUR B. BROADDUS

ARTHUR B. BROADDUS Judge of the Superior Court